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## Purpose of Report

An update from Election Services has been requested for discussion at Performance, Finance and Customer Focus Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

The first part of this report provides:
I. An update for the panel on Plymouth City Council's current electoral registration process in light of a recent Select Committee review.
2. An update on the implementation of the recommendations from the 2018 Polling Districts, Places and Stations Review.
3. Electoral Services key performance indicators

Performance, Finance and Customer Focus Overview and Scrutiny Committee also requested information on the advantages and disadvantages of the 'Election in thirds' election cycle compared to 'Whole council elections'. The second part of this report provides:
3. An exploration of the advantages and disadvantages to the 'Election by thirds' electoral cycle compared to 'Whole council elections'
4. Election cycle benchmarking against comparable other relevant local authorities
5. The financial implications of the 'Election by thirds' electoral cycle compared to the 'Whole council elections' cycle.

## Relevance to the Corporate Plan and/or the Plymouth Plan

We are co-operative
As a cooperative local authority we work to serve our residents and put the interests of the city and its communities at the heart of what we do. It is thus important that our electoral processes meet the needs of our residents.

We are democratic
Plymouth City Council prides itself in involving residents in its decision making processes. A resident's ability to exercise their vote through our election processes is key to ensuring that this is a reality.

Corporate and Plymouth Plan
It is important to be mindful that changes to the electoral processes could impact on both the Corporate Plan and the Plymouth Plan.

## I. Plymouth City Council Electoral Registration Update

On I3 January 2020 the Plymouth City Council Select Committee met to discuss the registration issues that were identified during preparations for the UK Parliamentary General Election in December 2019. These related to data that had been imported into the Council's electoral registration system and which presented risks to the integrity of the register. A significant amount of work was undertaken to ensure that erroneous entries were identified and corrected in accordance with electoral law and that this was done in a transparent and timely manner, with the result that the register was robust and accurate when the final interim notice of alteration was published on 6 December 2019.

In response to the issues identified, Tracey Lee, Plymouth's Electoral Registration Officer (ERO) commissioned an independent internal review of the various data sets to:

- ascertain where the issues were
- make sure issues were rectified
- ensure any affected customers were notified and where required informed of any actions they would need to take ensure lessons were learned and recommendations made to ensure the integrity of the register for future elections

As part of this review the Chair of Scrutiny Management Board, Cllr Mary Aspinall reviewed the issues, actions and lessons learned through a cross - party select committee.

On 30 January 2020, the select committee agreed with the Recommendations from the Plymouth City Council Review of Progress in the Registration and Election Service. The Select Committee suggested that the following recommendations should be implemented to reduce the risk of future compromise to the electoral register. The table below shows the Select Committee recommendations along with an update on the progress of implementing these recommendations.

|  | Recommendation | Response |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| I | Thanks staff from across the Council for <br> their efforts in bringing about the urgent <br> resolution of the issues that were identified. | Complete |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Recognises the important role the Chief <br> Executive as the Electoral Registration <br> Officer has played in the Council's recovery <br> journey and that the Committee continues <br> to support her in this role. | Noted |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Provide the capability to report on whether <br> a record within the Xpress elections <br> management system has or hasn't recorded <br> an Individual Electoral Registration (IER) <br> against it through filter or look up | This request was specified and sent to Xpress in <br> December 20I9. There have been a number of <br> discussions with developers at Xpress since that <br> date, and it this reporting functionality is in place and <br> being tested. Because this change is not yet fully in <br> place, we have designed and carried out data |


|  | Recommendation | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | capability. This is to avoid needing to manually check records on the system. | validation tests for the 2020 Local and Police and Crime Commissioner elections register that do not rely on this capability. |
| 4 | To ensure that all methods of data load for the Register provide consistent validation required to assure data integrity. | There have been no data loads into the register since January 2019. A full data validation and assurance exercise has been carried out by the independent data management team (described under separate cover) to ensure the continuing robustness and integrity of the register. |
| 5 | Confirm that all data assets have owners and that the owners have the necessary skills and experience to meet the responsibilities of their role. | Data asset ownership accountability has been applied, and the training plan has been updated for signoff at the March 2020 Strategic Election Board. It will detail the requirements for data owners. |
| 6 | Establish a small team of data management specialists, from existing resources, who can be called upon by the rest of the organisation in the event of a data management challenge or to explore opportunities held in the data owned and managed by the Council. This team will be responsible for assisting the organisation to realise the goal of "turning our data to intelligence". | A data management team has been established and has delivered the data validation requirements for the March 2020 register. It will continue to undertake compliance and verification checks in accordance with the integrity plan. Proposals for development of this function more broadly across the council's data estate are being developed. |
| 7 | Feedback to Xpress on the issues raised in the reports to the Select Committee which would assist in developing their software. Also to ensure that they are working on solutions that will support any changes to the registration process as a result from leaving the EU. | The papers for consideration by the Select Committee were shared with Xpress prior to publication, and conversations have taken place to understand any further learning that Xpress will apply to processes as a result of our findings. We are playing an active role in user groups in responding to potential functionality changes that will come about as a result of changing legislation regarding both EU exit and canvass reform. |
| 8 | Seek urgent clarification from the Government on how Local Authorities are going to deal with changes required as a result from leaving the EU. | Both the Association of Electoral Agents and ourselves are actively monitoring the Cabinet Office response to EU negotiations and their potential impact on future voting rights for EU citizens. There are no new implications for the Local and Police and Crime Commissioner elections in May 2020. |
| 9 | To schedule an audit report on the Electoral Register following the May 2020 Local Elections, and to provide a progress update on the recommendations to Scrutiny Management Board by the end of July 2020. | We will produce a draft scoping document for the post-election audit report on the Electoral Register for the 19 March Strategic Elections Board. |

## 2. Polling District and Polling Places Review Update

The Polling District and Polling Places Review for Plymouth City was agreed by Council on 16 September 2019.
The review considered any representations from local residents and stakeholders in the city, including any issues regarding access to premises or facilities for persons with disabilities. The review also
considered the impact of the next four years' worth of planned residential developments on existing electoral arrangements. The key determining factor in the makeup of polling districts was the availability of suitable polling places.

The number of potential polling stations across the city is limited with few viable alternatives to existing locations.

## Review Criteria

Polling Districts and Polling Stations was assessed for their suitability in relation to:

- Criteria set out in the Electoral Administration Act 2006 Section I8B
- Schedule AI of the 1983 Representation of the People Act

In addition to this, Plymouth City Council identified desirable criteria for assessing polling stations and defining Polling District Boundaries that meet priorities identified locally.

## Criteria for assessing polling stations

Mandatory Criteria

- A venue accessible for all
- A venue within I mile safe walking distance
- No more than 2,000 polling station electors (on 2020 electorate numbers) at a venue, unless the venue is capable of being a dual polling station (2 polling stations within one building)
- Polling station graded at least satisfactory for access and amenities at inspection
- Polling station is within the ward


## Desirable Criteria

(The need of the electorate for each specific district will inform whether the following criteria apply)

- Minimise the use of mobile polling stations
- Whenever possible, minimise the use of schools
- A venue compliant with the Disability Discrimination Act
- If possible, no less than I,000 polling station electors registered to vote at each polling station as this delivers poor value for money, unless significant growth is anticipated to take place within a 5 year period
- Polling station
- Polling station graded good or excellent for access and amenities at inspection is within the polling district


## Criteria for defining Polling District Boundaries

## Mandatory Criteria

- No more than 2,000 polling station electors (on 2020 electorate numbers) to minimise future queues at polling stations
- A choice of suitable polling station exists within the polling district
- Increase the number of polling districts in wards where the average electorate per ward is likely to exceed 2,000 polling station electors per station. For example, for an electorate of II,000 people in a ward, there should be 6 polling districts, not 5


## Desirable Criteria

(the varying characteristics and context of each district will be taken into account when applying the following criteria)

- A voter will not walk past a polling station for another polling district to get to their polling station
- Boundaries are set such that usable polling stations exist towards the centre of the polling district rather than towards the edges, taking into account geographical obstacles that may hinder access (i.e. double carriageway, stream or steep hill)
- Both sides of a street will be in the same polling district, unless existing natural and man-made physical barriers dividing a ward make this impractical to voters.


## Schools used as polling stations

There are statutory provisions that mandate the Returning Officer to make sure that all polling station provision complies with legal requirements and guidance from the Electoral Commission.

Statute relating to use of schools is set out below:

## Section 20 of The Local Elections (Principal Areas) (England and Wales) Rules 2006 Use of schools and public rooms

20.-(I) The returning officer may use, free of charge, for the purpose of taking the poll or counting the votes-
(a) a room in a school maintained or assisted by a local education authority or a school in respect of which grants are made out of moneys provided by Parliament to the person or body of persons responsible for the management of the school;
(b)a room the expense of maintaining which is payable out of any rate.
(2) The returning officer must make good any damage done to, and defray any expense incurred by the persons having control over, any such room as mentioned above by reason of its being used for the purpose of taking the poll or counting the votes.

## Electoral Commission guidance:

"Schools that are publicly funded, including academies and free schools, can be used as polling stations free of charge, and the legislation allows you to require a room in such schools for use as a polling station. The RO is also entitled to use, free of charge, any local authority funded room as a polling station but will need to pay for any lighting, heating, etc., costs incurred when using such rooms as polling stations.

Plymouth City Council will not be using four (4) schools as polling stations on 7 May elections. Twenty Three (23) schools, however, will still be used (I9\% of total number of polling stations).

Plymouth City Council is committed to working with all schools to minimise disruption where schools are used as polling stations and is keen to work with schools in order to enable, where possible, for schools to remain open. The decision on whether to remain open or close the school is taken independently by the head teacher of each school.

Special arrangements have been made with Goosewell Primary Academy, St Edwards Church of England Primary and Montpelier Primary to minimise disruptions and for the schools to remain open.

The table below shows the number of polling stations in other authorities and, the number of schools which are used as polling stations in each area.'

| Local Authority | Number of <br> polling places | Number of <br> schools used as <br> polling stations | Percentage of <br> schools used as <br> polling stations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plymouth City Council | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6 \%}$ |
| Southampton | 95 | 12 | $12.6 \%$ |
| Portsmouth | 80 | 15 | $18.8 \%$ |

[^0]| PLYMOUTH CITY COUNCIL |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bristol City Council | 178 | 25 | $14 \%$ |
| Slough | 45 | 8 | $17.8 \%$ |
| Nottingham | 129 | 9 | $6.9 \%$ |
| London Borough of Lewisham | 100 | 42 | $42 \%$ |
| Hull | 122 | 13 | $10.7 \%$ |
| London Borough of Lambeth | 95 | 48 | $50.5 \%$ |

## Mobile Polling stations

The 20I8/2019 Polling District and Polling Places Review investigated opportunities to remove mobile polling stations wherever circumstances allow because of ongoing concerns due to the inherent characteristics of mobile units, such as narrow entrance and limited internal area. The number of mobile polling stations used was reduced from 12 to 8 .

A clear process exists for designating polling places and polling stations in order to ensure electors are not impeded in casting their votes. It is not possible to rotate the designation of polling stations between different venues at each election. Doing so would undermine the consistency of the electoral process and be likely to confuse electors and potentially prevent them from voting. In turn, this would give rise to complaints and would also present a risk of legal challenges to election results. In the case of a legal challenge being upheld, the election would need to be rerun in its entirety. For these reasons it is of the utmost importance that polling station provision complies with legal requirements and guidance from the Electoral Commission.

## 3. Electoral Services Measurements

As requested by Performance, Finance and Customer Focus Overview and Scrutiny Committee the next section of this paper addresses the issue of key performance indicators (KPIs) for Electoral Services. It is important to note that the figures included below are not targets or performance measurements, but are included to inform discussion.

In November 2013, The Electoral Commission (EC) set out its performance standards for Returning Officers in Great Britain which can be found in the appendices. Members may want to note that The Electoral Commission recommends performance standards rather than KPIs.

| Plymouth City Council 2 May 2019 Local Elections |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Number |
| Electorate | 188,080 |
| Number of attainers | 2,294 |
| Number of proxy voters | 357 |
|  | 33,122 |
| Number of postal voters | 5,611 |
| EU Voters |  |


| General Election I2 December 19 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description | Number |
| Electorate | 192,920 |
| Attainers | 1,690 |
| Number of proxy voters | 1,228 |
| Number of postal voters | 34,144 |


| Annual 2019 <br> canvass of <br> households activity <br> response rate: $87 \%$ | $45.51 \%$ <br> replied by <br> post | $35.98 \%$ <br> replied by <br> completing <br> the online <br> form | $7.68 \%$ <br> replied <br> by <br> phone | $6.34 \%$ <br> replied <br> by <br> text |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of <br> properties I23, 114 |  |  |  |  |
| Number of <br> households <br> confirmed through <br> secondary checks <br> on Council Tax on <br> an annual basis |  |  |  |  |

## 4. Election by 'thirds' and 'Whole cycle elections'

Legislative change introduced under the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 (s. 33 (3) (b)) as amended by the Localism Act 20II provided Local Authorities with the option of changing their electoral cycle. The original act aimed to increase turnout and engagement. Under this legislation Plymouth City Council can, if it wishes, move from its current 'Election by thirds' style of elections to 'Whole council elections' at a specific date which it chooses.

The Electoral Commission, the independent body which oversees elections recommended in its 'The cycle of local government elections' (2004) that local authorities 'in England should hold Whole council elections, with all councillors elected simultaneously, once every four years'. ${ }^{2}$ The Electoral Commission recommends a move back to whole council elections every 4 years in order to provide "stronger local democracy", "greater clarity" for the electorate and to encourage "greater understanding" of elections.

Plymouth City Council has 57 Councillors. Under the current election cycle a third of councillors are elected every year over a four year cycle (with no elections in the fourth year); this election cycle is known as 'Election by thirds'.

Plymouth City Council is one of the 17 out of 55 Unitary Authorities in England which uses the 'Elections by thirds model' in England. The remaining 38 hold 'whole council elections' every fourth year. No Unitary authority holds elections every two years.

In 2016 Bristol City Council and Warrington moved to 'Whole Council Elections'. Arguments made in support of shifting to 'Whole Council' election cycles include; cost benefits and to support business decision making. The "advantages" and "disadvantages" set out below have been taken from a desk review of the above reports.

[^1]
## 'Election by thirds'

'Election by thirds' is where councillors are elected every year over a four year cycle (with no elections in the fourth year).

| "Advantages" | "Disadvantages" |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Frequent opportunities for residents to | - Potential voter fatigue and confusion |
| participate in democracy and influence how <br> the council should be run | - Officer time and cost |
| - Councillors may be more receptive to the <br> needs of residents | - Reduced time period for electorate to see <br> the longer term impact of policy changes |
| The composition of the council is more <br> likely to reflect current political opinions |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 'Whole Council' elections

Whole council elections is where an entire council is elected during one election typically every four years.

| "Advantages" | "Disadvantages" |
| :--- | :--- |
| - There could be potential savings, | -Perceived reduction in opportunity for residents <br> possibly in excess of $£$ I. Imillion over <br> IO years. Note this is difficult to <br> calculate based on the experience in democracy <br> over the last five years |
| - Limits the time the council would | - Increased risk of losing a high number of <br> experienced councillors during one election |
| spend in purdah <br> - Likely reduction in the burden and <br> disruption on the buildings used for <br> elections | Increase in by-elections from councillors <br> resigning |

## 5.Election Cycle turnout implications

At the last local election in 2019 there election turnout averaged at 33.69 percent across Plymouth. It is estimated that in 2003 Plymouth City Council shifted from 'Whole Cycle elections' to its current system of 'Elections by thirds'.

The below table shows the local election turn out for Plymouth City Council for last two years under the previous 'Whole council elections' model. The table also shows the election turnout for the previous two years of elections under the current 'Election by thirds' election cycle.

| Date of <br> election | Turnout | Plymouth City Council Local <br> Election cycle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| May 2003 | $31.9 \%$ | 'Whole Council Elections' |
| June 2004 | $35.9 \%^{3}$ | 'Whole Council Elections' |
| May 2018 | $35.07 \%$ | 'Election by thirds' |
| May 2019 | $33.69 \%$ | 'Election by thirds' |

## 6.Election Cycle Financial Implications

Note: If the following estimates had been made in 2015, they would have proved to be inaccurate given the number of elections which have took place in this period.

Table A and Table B provides estimated costs under 'Whole council' election cycle and 'Election by thirds' election cycle. It should be noted that the costs in these tables are estimated based on assumptions made during the calculations. Actual costs and savings may vary depending on the number of by-elections, general elections and, Police and Crime Commissioner Elections. The current costs of elections under the current model of 'Elections by thirds' can be found in Appendix C.

The table below shows the estimated 10 year costs for the current 'Election by thirds' election cycle and, the cost of the 'Whole council election' cycle were the council to shift to this cycle of elections. To continue with 'Election by third cycles' over a ten year period would cost an estimated $£ 2.54$ million. Were the Council to move to 'Whole cycle elections' by 2022 it is estimated that this would cost $£ 1.44$ million across a 10 year period saving save $£ 1$.I million over a 10 year period.

## Table A

| Best case | Most likely (upon which the <br> MTFS is based) <br> Decision implemented in 2020. <br> Decision Implemented in | Worst case |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No Change |  |  |


| Year | Current Scheduled <br> Election/s | Council continues <br> to follow <br> 'Election by third' <br> cycles | Council moves to <br> 'Whole council <br> elections' by 2020 | Council moves to <br> 'Whole council <br> elections' by 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2020 | PCC + Local | $£ 280 \mathrm{~K}$ | $£ 280 \mathrm{~K}$ | $£ 280 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| 2021 | Fallow Year | $£ 0$ | $£ 0$ | $£ 0$ |
| 2022 | Parliamentary + Local | $£ 280 \mathrm{~K}$ | $£ 0$ | $£ 280 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| 2023 | Local | $£ 380 \mathrm{~K}$ | $£ 0$ | $£ 0$ |
| 2024 | Local + PCC | $£ 280 \mathrm{~K}$ | $£ 280 \mathrm{~K}$ | $£ 0$ |

[^2]| 2025 | Fallow Year | £0 | £0 | £0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2026 | Local | £380K | £0 | £440K |
| 2027 | Parliamentary + Local | £280K | £0 | ¢0 |
| 2028 | PCC + Local | £280K | Ł280K | ¢0 |
| 2029 | Fallow | ¢0 | ¢0 | £0 |
| 2030 | Local | £380K | £0 | £440K |
|  | TOTAL | £2.54M | ¢840K | £1.44M |
|  | Total Savings | ¢0 | £1.7M | fl. IM |

It is important to note that were the council to move to 'Whole Council elections' individual elections will cost marginally more than elections by thirds. Additional costs will include: printing costs as ballots papers are likely to be longer; postal vote opening costs; costs at the count (no change for time spent on verification but longer time in sorting and counting of votes) to include additional counting assistants, count supervisors etc. The estimated revenue cost of running an all-out election is $£ 440 \mathrm{~K}$.

For full details of the current costs of elections under 'Elections by thirds' for Plymouth City Council between 2009/I0 - 2019/20 refer to appendix C.

## Recommendations and Reasons

That the panel consider the information in this report as background information to inform future discussions about electoral cycles for Plymouth City Council. It is recommended that were the suggestions in this report attractive to members of the panel that they consider commissioning further work including an equality impact assessment to fully understand the policy, practical and financial implications that a change of electoral cycle may have on Plymouth City Council.

## Alternative options considered and rejected

This section of the report is not applicable as the information being provided is background information. The report is not advocating that the panel make a decision, but rather they gather insight from the report to inform discussions.

## Carbon Footprint (Environmental) Implications:

It is important to note that although moving to a four yearly cycle of elections would reduce the frequency of such elections and so reducing the frequency of printing, when election papers are printed they may be significantly longer due to the increase in the number of councillors on the ballet paper.
Plymouth City Council has recently declared a Climate Emergency, all departments are required to be mindful of the environmental implications of their actions and decisions. Environmental considerations can be taken into account throughout the election cycle in the following areas:

Travel: In the lead up to the election promotional materials could encourage members of the public to walk to polling stations where possible instead of driving. Members of staff who are working at polling stations during the elections could be encouraged to car share and use public transport where possible rather than drive.

Standard signage which is used at every election could be laminated to weather proof it and allow it to be used again.
Waste: Due to the confidential nature of items such as polling cards and electoral registers it is not possible to recycle all election waste.

## Other Implications: e.g. Health and Safety, Risk Management, Child Poverty:

A move to 4 -yearly elections may increase the number of by-elections; however the frequency of these would be unpredictable.

As a decision is not being recommended at this point there are no potential risks. Were a decision to be taken a full equalities impact assessment would be required.

## Appendices

| Ref. | Title of Appendix | Exemption Paragraph Number (if applicable) If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part Iof Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| A | Local government election timetable in England, Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | Plymouth City Council Election Costs 2009/IO 2019/ 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | Plymouth City Council Election Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D | Anonymised use of schools as polling stations in London |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | Unitary Authority Benchmarking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | Plymouth City Council local elections turnout; 1997 - 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G | Performance Standards for Returning Officers in Great Britain, November 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | List of schools to be used in May 2020 Elections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1$ | Performance standards for returning Offices in Great Britain, November 2003, The Electoral Commission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Background papers:

Title of any background paper(s) Exemption Paragraph Number (if applicable)
If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part lof Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.

|  |  | Act | by |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| MTFS Proposals/ Policy Decisions, Electoral Services - Proposal to Move to Whole Council Elections, Giles Perritt, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Cycle of local government elections in England. Report and Recommendations, The Electoral Commission, 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plymouth City Council Select Committee Review, Electoral Registration - Supplement Pack, Thursday 30 January 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Electoral Registration Select Committee, Recommendation Response Update February, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bristol City Council Full Council (Extraordinary meeting) 5 March 2013, Liam Nevin, Head of Legal and Democratic Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sign off:

| Fin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pl.19.2 } \\ & 0.279 . \end{aligned}$ | Leg | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { It/3441 } \\ 2 / 1003 \end{array}$ | Mon Off | Click here to enter text. | HR | Click <br> here <br> to <br> enter <br> text. | Assets | Click <br> here <br> to <br> enter <br> text. | Strat Proc | Click here to enter text. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Originating Senior Leadership Team member: Giles Perritt, Assistant Chief Executive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Please confirm the Strategic Director(s) has agreed the report? <br> Date agreed: 09.03.2020 verbal approval from Giles Perritt, Assistant Chief Executive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cabinet Member approval: Approved gained via email from Cllr Pete Smith Date approved: 09.03.2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix A: Local government election timetable in England

Source: Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government; 3 April 2019

## Whole Council elections

These 30 unitary authorities hold whole council elections in 2019, 2023, and every 4th year.
I. Bath and North East Somerset
2. Bedford
3. Blackpool
4. Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole
5. Bracknell Forest
6. Brighton and Hove
7. Central Bedfordshire
8. Cheshire East
9. Cheshire West and Chester
10. Darlington

I I. Dorset (elections in 2019, 2024, 2029 and then every 4 years)
12. East Riding of Yorkshire
13. Herefordshire
14. Leicester

I5. Luton
16. Medway
17. Middlesbrough
18. North Lincolnshire
19. North Somerset
20. Nottingham
21. Redcar and Cleveland
22. Rutland
23. South Gloucestershire
24. Stockton-on-Tees
25. StokeTor
26. Telford and The Wrekin
27. Torbay
28. West Berkshire
29. Windsor and Maidenhead
30. York

These 6 unitary authorities hold whole council elections in 2017, 202I and every 4th year.
I. Cornwall
2. County Durham
3. Isle of Wight
4. Northumberland
5. Shropshire
6. Wiltshire

These 2 unitary authorities hold whole council elections in 2016, 2020 and every 4th year.
I. Bristol
2. Warrington

These 17 unitary authorities elect by thirds in $2018,2019,2020,2022$ and so on. Elections are held every year except the county council year.
I. Blackburn with Darwen
2. Derby
3. Halton
4. Hartlepool
5. Hull
6. Milton Keynes
7. North East Lincolnshire
8. Peterborough
9. Plymouth
10. Portsmouth
II. Reading
12. Slough
13. Southampton
14. Southend
15. Swindon
16. Thurrock
17. Wokingham

These 7 district councils elect by halves in 2018, 2020, 2022, and every alternate year.
I. Adur
2. Cheltenham
3. Fareham
4. Gosport
5. Hastings
6. Nuneaton
7. Bedworth
8. Oxford

| Appendix B: Plymouth City Council Election Costs 2009/I0-2019/20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Row Labels | Income or Expenditu re or Capital or BS Code | $\begin{gathered} 2009 / 10 \\ \text { PI-I3 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ E \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 / 11 \\ \text { PI-13 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ f \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 / 12 \\ \mathrm{PI}-13 \\ \text { Actual } \\ £ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 / 13 \\ \mathrm{PI}-13 \\ \text { Actual } \\ £ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 / 14 \\ \text { PI-I3 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ £ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014 / 15 \\ \text { PI-I3 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ f \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015 / 16 \\ \text { PI-I3 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ E \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 / 17 \\ \text { PI-13 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ f \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 / 18 \mathrm{PI}- \\ 13 \text { Actual } \\ £ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 / 19 \\ \mathrm{PI}-13 \\ \text { Actual } \\ £ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 / 20 \\ \text { PI-I3 } \\ \text { Actual } \\ £ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| City Elections | Expendi | 157,116.0 | 354,120.0 | 185,869.5 | 290,912.2 |  | 372,091.6 | 485,499.0 | 239, I 16.1 |  | 441,347.5 | 348,837.6 |
|  | ture | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 37,216.30 | 4 | I | 6 | 57,094.74 | 6 | 4 |
|  |  |  | (47,873.19 | (62,801. 44 |  |  | (171,380.0 | (192,039.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Income | 0.00 | ) | ) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0) | 4) | (6, I26.82) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| City Elections Total |  | 157,116.0 | 306,246.8 | 123,068.1 | 290,912.2 |  | 200,711.6 | 293,459.1 | 232,989.3 |  | 441,347.5 | 348,837.6 |
|  |  | 2 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 37,216.30 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 57,094.74 | 6 | 4 |
| European Elections | Expendi | 200,612.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 403,174.0 |
|  | ture | 3 | 38,945.48 | (9,582.12) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12,179.00 | 0.00 | 8 |
|  |  | (200,612.5 | (38,945.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (257,657.0 |
|  | Income | 3) | ) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0) |
| European Elections Total |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | $(9,582.12)$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $12,179.00$ |  | 145,517.0 |
|  |  | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Parliamentary | Expendi |  |  | 121,739.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 471,997.9 |
| Elections | ture | 10,766.16 | 7 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  | 526,797.42 | 220.00 | 3 |
|  |  | (10,766.16 | (121,739.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (295, I56.0 |
|  | Income | ) | 7) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $(565,728.35)$ | 0.00 | 0) |
| ParliamentaryElections Total |  | 0.00 | (0.00) | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $(38,930.93)$ | 220.00 | 176,841.9 |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Police Commissioner Elections | Expendi |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 295, 129.3 | 0.00$(39,834.13$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | $188,888.3$7 | 12,234.00 |  |  |
|  | ture | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 |
|  |  | (294,930.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | (201,783.0 |  |  |  |
|  | Income | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9) | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Police Commissioner ElectionsTotal |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | (39,834.13 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | ( $12,894.63$ | 12,234.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 0.00 |  | 198.31 | ) | ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Referendum | Expendi |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 206,141.9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 337,312.5 | 35,978.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | ture | 2 |  |  | (317,138.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (202,558.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Income | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| Referendum Total |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3,583.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20,173.96 | 35,978.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  |  | 157,116.0 | $306,246.8$7 | $\begin{aligned} & 117,069.2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 291,110.5 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 200,711.6 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 293,459.1 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 240,268.6 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  | $441,567.5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 671,196.6 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Grand Total |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2,617.83 |  |  |  | 78,554.81 |  |  |  |

## Appendix C: Plymouth City Council Election Costs

- If a stand - along local election is delivered, Plymouth City Council is responsible for 100 percent of the costs.
- If a national 'stand-alone' election (e.g. a Referendum, Police and Crime Commissioner or Parliamentary elections) are delivered, the Cabinet Office reimburses 100 per cent of the cost.
- If a 'double/combined' election is delivered (i.e. a local election together with a Parliamentary or Police and Crime Commissioner Elections), the Council is reimbursed approximately 50 per cent of the total cost.
- If a 'triple combined' election is delivered (i.e. a local election together with a Parliamentary and Police and Crime Commissioner Elections), the Council is reimbursed approximately 66 per cent of the total cost.
- All reimbursement is subject to a detailed claims process, maximum recoverable amounts set in individual statutory instruments ahead of each election, with the potential of some costs being disallowed. As a result recovery is usually less than the full or apportioned cost of holding the relevant election.


## Appendix D: Use of schools as polling stations across London

The table below shows the percentage of polling places in London boroughs which are used as polling stations.

| Borough | Polling Places | Number of Polling Places <br> that are Schools | Percentage of Polling <br> Places that are Schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 83 | 1 | $1.20 \%$ |
| 2 | 60 | 4 | $6.67 \%$ |
| 3 | 53 | 7 | $13.21 \%$ |
| 4 | 51 | 11 | $21.57 \%$ |
| 5 | 35 | 15 | $42.86 \%$ |
| 6 | 55 | 15 | $27.27 \%$ |
| 7 | 69 | 19 | $27.54 \%$ |
| 8 | 135 | 21 | $14.81 \%$ |
| 9 | 58 | 22 | $36.21 \%$ |
| 10 | 83 | 21 | 28 |
| 12 | 106 | 31 | $30.51 \%$ |
| 13 | 70 | 35 | $26.42 \%$ |
| 14 | 110 | 35 | $44.29 \%$ |
| 15 | 103 | 37 | $31.82 \%$ |
| 16 |  |  | $23.65 \%$ |

PLYMOUTH CITY COUNCIL

| 17 | 80 | 39 | $48.75 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 75 | 39 | $52.00 \%$ |
| 19 | 101 | 40 | $39.60 \%$ |
| 20 | 92 | 42 | $45.65 \%$ |
| 21 | 100 | 49 | $49.00 \%$ |

## Appendix E: Unitary Authority Benchmarking

The table below shows the Local Election turnout for Bristol City Council under the 'Elections by thirds' cycle and, 'Whole Council Elections'.

| Local Authority | Date of <br> Election | Electoral <br> Cycle | Turn out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bristol City Council | Local Elections <br> 201 I | 'Elections by <br> thirds' | $39.5 \%$ |
| Bristol City Council | Local Elections <br> 2016 | 'Whole council <br> elections' | $44.76 \%$ |


| Local Authority | Date of <br> Election | Electoral <br> Cycle | Turn out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Winchester City Council | Local Elections <br> 2018 | 'Elections by <br> thirds' | $44.5 \%$ |
| Winchester City Council | Local Elections <br> 2017 | 'Elections by <br> thirds' | $45.3 \%$ |


| Local Authority | Date of <br> Election | Electoral <br> Cycle | Turn out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Southampton City Council | Local Elections <br> 2019 | 'Elections by <br> thirds' | $30.7 \%$ |
| Southampton City Council | Local Elections <br> 2017 | 'Elections by <br> thirds' | $32.7 \%$ |


| Local Authority | Date of <br> Election | Electoral <br> Cycle | Turn out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nottingham City Council | Local Elections <br> 2015 | Whole Council <br> Elections | $57.1 \%$ |
| Nottingham City Council | Local Elections <br> 2019 | Whole Council <br> Elections | $29 \%$ |


| Local Authority | Date of <br> Election | Electoral <br> Cycle | Turn out |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Luton Borough Council | Local Elections <br> 2015 | Whole Council <br> Elections' | $29.37 \%$ |
| Luton Borough Council | Local Elections <br> 2019 | Whole Council <br> Elections' | $60.97 \%$ |

## Appendix F: Plymouth City Council elections turnout; 2003-2019

The table below shows the Local Election turnout for Plymouth City Council.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Election Year | Plymouth turnout - \% of overall turnout |
| 2003 | 31.9 |
| 2004 | 35.9 |
| 2005 | no value given |
| 2006 | 34.8 |
| 2007 | 37.2 |
| 2008 | 33.4 |
| 2009 | no value given |
| 2010 | $62^{4}$ |
| 2011 | 39.3 |
| 2012 | 32.9 |
| 2013 | no value given |
| 2014 | 37.2 |
| 2015 | $64.6^{5}$ |
| 2016 | 33.1 |
| 2017 | no value given |
| 2018 | 35.07 |
| 2019 | 33.69 |

[^3]
## Appendix G: Schools to be used for the May 2020 Local Elections

| Name |
| :--- |
| Austin Farm Academy |
| Beechwood Primary Academy |
| Boringdon Primary School |
| Chadlewood Primary School |
| Compton C of E Primary School |
| Courtlands Special School Academy |
| Ernesettle Community School |
| Glen Park Primary (Buddies Bungalow) |
| Knowle Primary School |
| Laira Green Primary School |
| Lipson Vale Primary School |
| Marlborough Primary Academy |
| Mary Dean Primary School |
| Mount Street Primary School (ME2 Building) |
| Pilgrim Primary School |
| Plaistow Hill Infant \& Nursery School |
| Prince Rock Primary School |
| St. Andrews C of E Primary School |
| St. Edward's C of E Primary School |
| St. Peter's RC Primary School |
| Thornbury Primary School |
| Widewell Primary School |

Appendix H: Performance standards for returning Offices in Great Britain, November 2003, The Electoral Commission, available at:
https://www.electoralcommission.org.uk/sites/default/files/pdf_file/New-performance-standards-for-ROs-November-2013.pdf


[^0]:    ' For a full list showing which London boroughs use schools as polling stations please refer to Appendix D.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Electoral Commission (2004) The Cycle of local government elections in England, Report and Recommendations P4. (Online), Available at: https://www.electoralcommission.org.uk/sites/default/files/electoral_commission_pdf_file/cycleoflocalelecfinal_||5959056 __ E_N_S_W_.pdf

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The local election in 2004 saw a significant increase in turn out. It is important to note that the local election corresponded with a general election, any increases in voter turnout must be partly attributed to this.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ There was a General Election on Thursday 6 May 2010 which is likely to have increased turn out.
    ${ }^{5}$ There was a General Election on Thursday 7 May 2015 which is likely to have increased turn out.

